

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11 NEST26 GROUPS INC.; ALAN HO) Case No. CV 10-00596 DDP (RZx)
and UITO CHAU,)
12) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE
Plaintiffs,) SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK
13) OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION
v.)
14)
15 RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER)
PLLC; MARY MARGARET O'DONNEL)
an individual and as an)
16 agent of RADER, FISHMAN &)
GRAUER PLLC,)
17)
Defendants.)
18 _____)
19

20 The plaintiffs brought suit in District Court alleging state
21 law claims, apparently on the basis of diversity jurisdiction. The
22 Court is not convinced that complete diversity exists between the
23 parties. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). Plaintiffs are hereby ordered to
24 file a brief, not to exceed ten pages, on or before Monday, April
25 19, 2010, to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for
26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 failure to establish federal jurisdiction. The brief shall set
2 forth the citizenship of all parties to this action for purposes of
3 diversity jurisdiction. Failure to file such a brief will be
4 deemed consent to dismissal of this action.

5

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7

8
9 Dated: April 7, 2010


DEAN D. PREGERSON
United States District Judge

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28